

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/732,755  | 12/10/2003    | Bor-Shyue Hong       | 2558 US 6541        |                  |
| 26356   | 7590 12/21/20 | EXAMINER             |                     | INER             |
| ALCON RESEARCH, LTD.  |               |                      | MRUK, BRIAN P       |                  |
| R&D COUNSEL, Q-148<br>6201 SOUTH FREEWAY<br>FORT WORTH, TX 76134-2099 |               |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |               |                      | 1751                |                  |

DATE MAILED: 12/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|  | 10/732,755  | HONG ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Brian P. Mruk   | 1751  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply   | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilizer to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 O</u>   | ctober 2005.  |   |  |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is   |   |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E   | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   | ·   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) Claim(s) 7-12 is/are pending in the application.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7-12</u> is/are rejected.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o   | r election requirement.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine   | r.  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the  | drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex   | aminer. Note the attached Office  | Action or form PTO-152.   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:   | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a  | )-(d) or (f).   |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list   | of the certified copies not receive   | <b>∌d</b> .   |  |  |  |  |
|  | ·   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   | 5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F   | Patent Application (PTO-152)  |  |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 6)  |   |  |  |  |  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed October 4, 2005.

Applicant has amended claim 7. Claims 1-6 have been cancelled. Currently, claims 7
12 remain pending in the application.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35 U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office action, Paper No. 20050330.
- 3. The rejection of claims 7-12 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Reed et al, WO 97/06782, is maintained for the reasons of record.
- 4. The rejection of claims 7-12 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Hung et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,716,970, is maintained for the reasons of record.

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed October 4, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Reed et al, WO 97/06782, does not teach or suggest in general methods for cleaning contact lenses. However, the examiner respectfully

disagrees. Specifically, the examiner maintains that the teaching by Reed et al of "compositions including O-carboxyalkyl chitosan and use of said compositions in ophthalmic formulations" (see abstract of Reed et al) clearly encompasses compositions and methods for cleaning contact lenses, since it is well known in the art that ophthalmic formulations are used for treating the eye or lenses that are used in the eye.

Applicant further argues that Hung et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,716,970, does not teach or suggest in general methods for cleaning contact lenses. However, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Specifically, the abstract of Hung et al discloses that the invention includes "methods of disinfecting contact lenses", which clearly meets the limitation of "cleaning contact lenses" recited in the instant claims.

## Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/732,755

Art Unit: 1751

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Brian P. Mruk whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1321. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thurs (7:00AM-5:30PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on (571) 272-1316. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BPM

Brian P. Mruk

December 14, 2005

Brion P. Mrux

Page 4

Brian P Mruk Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1751